Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/469,972	DENKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael I Poe	1732
Participants: Status of Application: Rejected - Final		
(1) Michael I Poe (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) Stephen Jensen (Applicant's attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 September 2004	Time: <u>5:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None specifically		
Claims discussed: 1-90		
Prior art documents discussed: The prior art of record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
•		
Michael Pen		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called the applicant's attorney to discuss proposed amendments necessary to place the application in condition for allowance. The examiner indicated that the arguments presented in the Appeal Brief filed on June 4, 2004 were found persuasive by the examiner, and therefore the claims in the application were in condition for allowance except for the presence of non-elected species claims 49-70. As such, the examiner proposed canceling claims 49-70 without prejudice. The examiner further proposed changing the title so that it better reflected the allowable invention. During the telephone interview, the applicant's attorney approved the examiner's proposed amendments and authorized the examiner to proceed with the agreed upon changes via Examiner's Amendment.